

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Viguna 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
			000000000	0022

10/062,095

01/31/2002

Andries Ellens

02003/TL

06/20/2003

FRISHAUF, HOLTZ, GOODMAN & CHICK, PC 767 THIRD AVENUE 25TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023

EXAMINER

HARPER, HOLLY R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2879

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/062,095	ELLENS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Holly R. Harper	2879			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, but any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stion. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of the y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers	and/or election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) c	disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required	· •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.				
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	ial Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) The translation of the foreign languages 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has b	een received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	l8) 5) ☐ Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
US Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Off	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Application/Control Number: 10/062,095

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment, filed on 1/31/2002, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

The Specification has been amended.

The Abstract has been amended.

Claim 5 has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Variables x, y, and z are not defined. All possible definitions for the variables x, y, and z would not produce a fluorescent material. A person with ordinary skill in the art could not determine the values for the variables without undue experimentation. The disclosure offers no guidance to the intended values of x, y, and z.

Claims 3-8 are rejected because of their dependence on the base claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,095 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim does not specify the values or ranges for A, x, y, and z. Therefore, the meets and bounds of the claim are not ascertainable.

Claims 3-8 are rejected because of their dependence on the base claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 2, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 2, and specifically comprising the formulas $Sr_2Si_3N_8Ce^{3+}$ and $SrSi_7N_{10}$: Ce^{3+} .

Contact innormation

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Harper whose telephone number is (703) 305-7908. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

JU B

Holly Harper Patent Examiner Art Unit 2879